

## Brennan, Charlotte

---

**From:** Programme Officer  
**Sent:** 26 July 2018 11:52  
**To:** March, Jemma  
**Cc:** Phil Mileham; 'Ottewell, Stephen (Capita)'; Planning Policy Team  
**Subject:** RE: Query regarding Matter 9 and Matter 10

Dear Jemma

Thank you for your email of 24<sup>th</sup> July, please see the Inspector's response below.

I have considered the Council's email. It is my role to consider the soundness of the Plan as submitted and any modifications that might be needed to make it sound if necessary (as requested by the Council). The Council's evidence provided for the additional work, any written statements and suggested modifications in relation Snetterton will need to be fully considered and discussed at the additional hearing session. It will not be until after this point that I will be able to conclude whether or not there are any soundness issues. Until such a time, I am therefore unable to advise if there are any soundness issues that might require modifications, or if there are, what measures would be required to address them, including any implications that it might have in terms of the examination timetable.

Regards

*Charlotte*

Charlotte Brennan  
Programme Officer

DDI: 01362 656296

Email: [programme.officer@breckland.gov.uk](mailto:programme.officer@breckland.gov.uk)

Elizabeth House, Walpole Loke, Dereham, Norfolk, NR19 1EE

---

**From:** March, Jemma  
**Sent:** 24 July 2018 17:13  
**To:** Programme Officer  
**Cc:** Phil Mileham; 'Ottewell, Stephen (Capita)'; Planning Policy Team  
**Subject:** Query regarding Matter 9 and Matter 10

For the attention of the Inspector,

The Council have been in communication with the landowners for Snetterton Park regarding the proposed modification to Policy EC 02 (MA/EC/02/B). This modification would provide additional wording in the policy in support of development to the south of the GEA in place of a GEA designation, which the Council consider does not reflect the current use of the land. The Council have been in discussion with the landowners who have expressed concerns with the approach proposed in the Employment Topic Paper because it does not provide sufficient certainty regarding development on the site and therefore could impact their ability to expand the site. Through discussion with the landowners there is greater certainty of the deliverability of the site which was not available at previous stages of the plan process.

The Council do not wish to delay the examination and would welcome your view on how best to present this issue prior to the reconvened sessions. The Council sought at least 20ha of land for allocation at Snetterton, and would support allocation of the formerly proposed GEA land for employment land as it reflects the joint aspirations for the site, subject to consideration of the wider implications. If the Council were to propose the site for allocation as an alternative option, supporting by evidence and submit this proposal in response to the additional questions by 28<sup>th</sup> August, could you confirm how this would be received and whether this would result in any delay to the examination timetable?

Regards,


Jemma

**Jemma March BA(hons), MA, MRTPI** | Planning Policy Team Leader

**Breckland District Council**

DDI: 01362 656239 Mobile: 07901 864642

Elizabeth House, Walpole Loke, Dereham, Norfolk, NR19 1EE

 *Think of the environment...please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to*

