

## AGENDA FOR HEARING SESSION 9:

### Matter 10 - Economic Development Site Allocations & Boundaries

Venue: Breckland Council, Dereham Time: 09.30 Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> May

#### **Participants:**

Breckland Council

Neil Osborn (For Mr Justin Brookes)

Andrew Thornton (Individual)

Edward Keymer (For Snetterton Heath Ltd)

Anthony Needham (For Dereham Town Council)

#### **Agenda**

1. Introduction by Inspector.
2. Have all sites put forward for allocation been considered through a robust SA process, including the consideration of reasonable alternatives?
3. Has the SA process for Policies EC 01 and EC 03 been suitably robust?
4. Are the sites the most appropriate option given the reasonable alternatives?
5. What site selection methodology has been used for employment sites?
6. Are the site allocations based on a sound assessment of infrastructure requirements and their deliverability, including landowner support?
7. In broad terms, is the economic development identified in Policy EC 01 based on a sound understanding of financial viability?

8. How has the continued suitability of General Employment Areas and their boundaries been considered? Is it robust?
9. To be effective and consistent with national policy, should Attleborough Employment Allocation 1 refer to the need to consider the historic environment?
10. Should Attleborough Employment Allocation 1 refer to 'at least' 10 hectares to ensure consistency with Policy EC 01?
11. To be effective, should Snetterton Employment Allocations 1 & 2 refer to the need to consider the historic environment?
12. Are Saved Policies: D5 (Land East of Dereham Business Park); SW2 (Land to the North of the Eco-Tech Centre); SW3 (Land to the West of the Eco-Tech Centre); and TH30 (New Employment Land) (of the Thetford Area Action Plan) still considered to be deliverable, given they were originally allocated in 2012? Do they still have landowner support? Why have the sites not been delivered over the past 5 years? Should they be considered as new allocations?
13. Is the settlement boundary set out in Map 6.3 of the Plan consistent with the boundary shown on the Swaffham Policies Map?
14. Any Other Matters?