

## Brennan, Charlotte

---

**From:** Ian Martin  
**Sent:** 08 June 2018 12:25  
**To:** Programme Officer  
**Subject:** Matter 14 papers  
**Attachments:** Cllr Claussen Statement re Yaxham.pdf; elm close s106 agreement.pdf

Dear Charlotte,

Please find attached for the Examiner's attention the Statement I read out yesterday on behalf of our ward councillor Paul Claussen, which summarises key points as to Yaxham's status.

Further to the discussion of bigger developments of 10 or more properties bringing s106 benefit to the village. Yaxham's stated preference is for developments of less than 10, because we do not see any benefit from larger developments, as can be seen from: the s106 agreement as regards the "land at Elm Close", site LP[113]005 (attached); and Norfolk County Council s106 letter re the "Appeal Site", site LP[113]007, previously submitted as EX.71B. In neither case, despite the Parish Council and Neighbourhood Plan Working Group best endeavours there has been no serious engagement by either developer as to s106 developer contributions to the community. This is despite list of projects set out in the Yaxham Neighbourhood Plan in Community Benefit Policy COM2 - "Developer funding priorities", on page 55 of the Plan (LP/D/6). This explains Yaxham's scepticism as the only two "greater than 10" have brought no developer funding to the community. Yaxham clearly stated preference is for small-scale organic growth to larger-scale estate-style developments - as set out in the Neighbourhood Plan, one of the few in the country where over half (53%)\* of all voters on the electoral roll voted "Yes" to the Plan. Hence we believe we have a mandate to argue for Yaxham's preferences in the examination of the Local Plan.

Best wishes,

Peter Lowings, Chairman Yaxham Parish Council  
Maggie Oechsle, Chairman, Yaxham Neighbourhood Plan Working Group  
Ian Martin, Vice-Chairman, Yaxham Parish Council & Yaxham Neighbourhood Plan Working Group

<http://www.yaxham.com/parish-council/>, <http://www.np4yaxham.com/>

*\*58% turnout, 92% voted "yes" = 53% of the electoral roll voted "Yes". only 31 people voted "No".*

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.